## Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU)

2007 Planning Analysis Tool

For

Kentucky MPO's

1.	MPO Designation
A.	The MPO Policy Board shall be represented by jurisdictions consisting of the following:
	1. Local elected officials?
	Yes No
	2. Appropriate State officials?
	Yes No
	3. Officials of public agencies that administer or operate major modes of transportation in the metropolitan area?
	YesNo
2.	Metropolitan Planning Area Boundaries
A.	Does the forecast period cover at least a 20 year period?
В.	Were the boundary maps submitted to FHWA/FTA?
	Yes No
3.	Agreements, Cooperation and Coordination
A.	What is the Memorandum of Agreement or Prospectus date?
В.	Does the Memorandum of Agreement or Prospectus address State/MPO planning and programming responsibilities?
	Yes No

MPO Name: \_\_\_\_\_

1/24/07

C.	Does the Memorandum of Agreement or Prospectus address MPO/Transit operators cooperative procedures and programming?			
	Yes	No		
D.	state and local officials respon-	nted procedure in the Participation sible for other types of appropriate		
	Yes	No		
	Possible agencies for consulta	tion include:		
	(Note: If no consultation occu	urred, list agency to be consulted).		
	Airport operations	Yes	No	
	Agency			
	Conservation	Yes	No	
	Agency			
	Economic development	Yes	No	
	Agency			
	Environmental protection	Yes	No	
	Agency			
	Freight movement	Yes	No	
	Agency			
	Historic preservation	Yes	No	
	Agency		<del></del>	
	Natural resources	Yes	No	
	Agency			

Non-emergency transportation services prothan Title 49 U.S.C. Chapter 53		
Agency		
Planned growth / land use management	Yes	No
Agency		
Recipients of assistance under Title 49 U.S	. C. Chapter 53	
	Yes	No
Agency		
Recipients of assistance under Section 504		
Agency		
Safety/security operations	Yes	No
Agency		
Other agencies that were consulted not iden		(1)
		, ,
		, ,
		_ (,
E. Are the documented consultation procedu Memorandum of Agreement or Prospectu		licated in the
YesNo		
F. Is the MPO in a maintenance or non-attain	ment area?	
Yes N	0	

County		<u>Pollutant</u>
If Yes, the follow	ing questions ne	ed to be answered:
quality agencie		nt between MPO, KYTC, State and local air respective roles and responsibilities for ail anning?
	Yes	No
		nment areas include "donut" areas, do the aclude the applicable local public agencies?
	Yes	No
		he maintenance or non-attainment area or the es the MPO have a written agreement for
	Yes	No
		he maintenance or non-attainment area or the coordination and cooperation documented?
	<b>T</b> 7	
	Yes	No
Participation Pla		No
	n	
Participation Pla	<b>n</b> an adopted Partic	ipation Plan?

1/24/04 4

B.	Does the adopted Participation Plan include or document the following:
	45-day public review period for the Participation Plan.
	Mechanism for periodic review of the Participation Plan.
	Provides for timely notice of meetings.
	Provides reasonable access to the contents of the TIP and LRTP to the maximum extent possible.
	Commits to holding public meetings at convenient and accessible locations and times.
	Commits to employing visualization techniques to describe TIP and LRTPs.
	Commits to making public information available in electronically accessible formats and means (such as worldwide web).
	Commits to consideration of public input.
	Commits to seek out and consider the needs of the traditionally underserved
	Provides contact information for the following coordinators.
	Title VI
	ADA
	Section 504
	Coordinated with the Statewide Participation Plan(s).
	Documentation of the consultation process with State and local agencies responsible for land use management, natural resources, environmental protection, conservation, and historic preservation.

C.	Does the adopted Participation Plan provide a reasonable opportunity to involve citizens, affected public agencies, representatives of public transportation employees freight shippers, providers of freight services, private transportation providers, bike and pedestrian representatives, representatives of the disabled, safety and security agencies, providers of non-emergency transportation, and other interested parties?
	Yes No
	If No:
D.	If the final Planning Process Document is substantially different than the draft, are there provisions for an additional comment period?
	Yes No
	If No:
E.	Are the Planning Process Products posted on the world wide web?
	Yes No
	If No:
5.	Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP)
A.	Has the UPWP been developed cooperatively between MPO, the State and operators of publicly owned transit?
	Yes No

## **6. Elements of Planning Process**

Does the Pl Planning fa	_	ess Products r	eflect con	sideration of the eight	
	_TIP	_ LRTP _	Corr	idor Plans/Special Studies	
		e vitality of thess, productivit		litan area, especially by enabliniciency?	ng
	Yes		_ No		
If no, _					
2) Increase users?	the safety of	the transport	ation syste	em for motorized and non-moto	rized
	Yes		_ No		
If no, _					
3) Increase users?	the security	of the transpo	ortation sys	stem for motorized and non-mo	torize
	Yes		_ No		
If no, _					
4) Increase	the accessibi	lity and mob	ility of peo	ople and for freight?	
	Yes		_ No		
If no.					

	quality of life, and promote consistency between transportation improvements and state and local planned growth and economic development patterns?
	YesNo
	If no,
	6) Enhance the integration and connectivity of the transportation system, across and between modes, for people and freight?
	Yes No
	If no,
	7) Promote efficient system management and operation?
	Yes No
	If no,
	8) Emphasize the preservation of the existing transportation system?
	Yes No
	If no,
	Is the MPO Planning Process consistent with the federal Title VI Assurance by the state and the Environmental Justice Executive Order?
	YesNo
C.	Does the MPO Planning Process identify actions needed for compliance with ADA and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973?
	Yes No

1/24/04 8

D.	Regional ITS Architecture, as applicable?
	Yes No
E.	Are the goals and objectives of the approved Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP) considered in the metropolitan transportation planning process?
	Yes No
	If No, will it be taken into account at the next plan update?
F.	Are the goals and objectives of the Coordinated Public Transit – Human Services Transportation Plan considered in the metropolitan transportation planning process?
	YesNo
7.	Metropolitan Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP)
A.	Does the LRTP have at least a 20-year planning horizon from the effective date?
	Yes No
В.	Is the update cycle for the LRTP at least every 4 years for maintenance and non attainment areas, or at least every 5 years for attainment areas?
	Yes No
	<ol> <li>If maintenance or non-attainment area, does the LRTP include an air quality conformity determination?</li> </ol>
	Yes No

C. The LRTP address	sses each of the following:
	Identify the projected transportation demand of people and goods in metropolitan planning area over the time period of the plan.
	Existing and proposed facilities including roads, transit, multimodal facilities, intermodal facilities, bicycle facilities and pedestrian/walkway facilities.
	Identifies congestion management strategies.
	Transit enhancement.
	Freight.
	Operations and management of the existing and proposed system to improve the performance of existing transportation facilities to relieve congestion and to maximize the safety and mobility of people and goods.
	Financial plan that includes strategies for implementation of any new funding sources.
	Consultation with state and local agencies in accordance with the Participation Plan (Refer to Section 3D on page 2).
	List of all existing and proposed transportation projects including design concept (mode and alignment) and scope (of sufficient detail to prepare a cost estimate), and a planning level cost estimate.
	General discussion of types of potential environmental mitigation activities at the corridor or regional level (i.e. policy/strategy level, not project specific) and potential areas to carry out activities developed in consultation with Federal, state and tribal wildlife, land management and regulatory agencies.
	Reflect the goals and objectives of approved SHSP related to the MPO region.
	For TMAs, Congestion Management Process (CMP formerly CMS), no later than 1 year after identification as a TMA. CMP must include plan and schedule for implementation of CMP projects.

Follows the Participation Plan.
Available electronically on the worldwide web.
D. Did agency consultation involve review and comparison with State conservation plans or maps (if available) or review and comparison to inventories of natural or historic resources (if available)?
YesNo
E. If major comments were received for the LRTP, is there a summary, analysis and report addressing the comments and identifying how those comments were considered in the LRTP?
YesNo
F. If maintenance or nonattainment area, has the MPO, FHWA and FTA made an air quality conformity determination in accordance with the Clean Air Act and EPA's transportation conformity regulations?
Yes No
8. Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)
A. Is the TIP cooperatively developed at least every four years and approved by the MPO and the Governor?
Yes No
B. Does the TIP cover at least a 4-year period?
YesNo
C. Are the projects in the TIP prioritized by year?
Yes No

followed:	* *	nent that the requirements of the Pa	rticipation Plan were
	Yes	No	
E. Fiscal Pla	anning		
1) Is ther	re a Financial Plan?		
	Yes	No	
	MPO is designated a	as a maintenance or nonattainment as first two years?	area, is the TIP
	Yes	No	
	<u> </u>	eveloped cooperatively with the MP and Public Transit Agencies?	PO, State
	Yes	No	
4) Are op	perational and maint	enance costs included in TIP?	
	Yes	No	
F. Does the	TIP include the follo	wing:	
	All projects are c	onsistent with the adopted LRTP.	
		gnificant projects, regardless of sour eral, state, local or private investme es.	_
		es by year, including pedestrian wall cilities cooperatively developed with	•
		state and local agencies in accorda (Refer to Section 4C on page 5).	nce with the
	If maintenance of with Clean Air Ac	r non-attainment area, documentation to Agencies.	on of coordination
	Projects impleme ADA.	enting paratransit and key station pla	ans required by

G. Doe	es each project in the TIP include the	e following information:
	Sufficient description mater work, termini, length, etc.).  Estimated total project cost.	rial to identify the project or phase (i.e. type of
	Amount of Federal funds pr	roposed to be obligated each program year.
	Proposed source(s) of funds	s.
	Identification of agency res	sponsible for carrying out project.
Tra	maintenance or nonattainment area, a ansportation Control Measures (TCM plementation Plan (SIP) identified in	I's) in the state air quality agency's State
	Yes	No
I. Does	es the TIP document the following in	
	Project prioritization pro	ocess and/or criteria.
	Project status from the p	previous TIP.
	naintenance or non-attainment area, deformity determination?	loes the TIP includes an air quality
	Yes	No
K. Is th	the project amendment and adjustment	nt procedures for the TIP documented?
	Yes	No
	dressing the comments and identifying	e TIP, is there a summary, analysis and report ag how those comments were considered in the
	Yes	No

## 9. Annual Listing of Obligated Transportation Projects

A.	Was a listing of projects, (including pedestrian and bicycle facilities) for which federal highway or transit funds were obligated during the preceding program year, developed by September 30 <sup>th</sup> (or 90 days from the end of the state program year)?		
	Yes No		
	If No, will one be developed for FY2007? Yes No		
B.	B. Did the listing, at a minimum, include the information for the project shown in the TIP, and the funds requested in the TIP, the federal funds obligated and the federal funds remaining and available for the following years?		
	Yes No		
C.	Was this annual listing of obligated projects made publicly accessible in accordance with the MPO's Participation Plan?		
	Vas No		